SOUTHERN DISTRICT COURT SOUTHERN DISTRICT OF NEW YORK		
BRIAN PORTERA,	X	
-versus-	Plaintiff,	<u>05 CV 9985</u> (KMK)(JCF)
THE CITY OF NEW YORK, et al.		
	Defendants.	

ANSWER

Defendants The City of New York, Michael Bloomberg, Raymond Kelly and Moises Martinez ("Defendants"), by their attorney, Michael A. Cardozo, Corporation Counsel of the City of New York, for their answer to the Complaint, respectfully allege, upon information and belief, as follows:

AS TO THE "PRELIMINARY STATEMENT"

- 1. Defendants deny the allegations set forth in paragraph "1" of the Complaint, except admit that plaintiff seeks relief.
- 2. Defendants deny the allegations set forth in paragraph "2" of the Complaint.
- 3. Defendants deny the allegations set forth in paragraph "3" of the Complaint.
- 4. Defendants deny the allegations set forth in paragraph "4" of the Complaint, except admit that plaintiff seeks relief.

AS TO "JURISDICTION"

- 5. Defendants deny the allegations set forth in paragraph "5" of the Complaint, except admit that plaintiff purports to invoke the Court's jurisdiction as set forth therein.
- 6. Defendants deny the allegations set forth in paragraph "6" of the Complaint.
- 7. Defendants deny the allegations set forth in paragraph "7" of the Complaint, except admit that plaintiff purports to invoke the Court's jurisdiction as set forth therein.

AS TO "VENUE"

8. Defendants deny knowledge or information sufficient to form a belief as to the truth of the allegations set forth in paragraph "8" of the Complaint.

AS TO "JURY DEMAND"

9. Defendants deny the allegations set forth in paragraph "9" of the Complaint, except admit that plaintiff demands a trial by jury.

AS TO "PARTIES"

- 10. Defendants deny knowledge or information sufficient to form a belief as to the truth of the allegations set forth in paragraph "10" of the Complaint.
- 11. Defendants deny the allegations set forth in paragraph "11" of the Complaint, except admit that The City of New York is a municipal corporation duly organized and operating under the laws of the State of New York.
- 12. Defendants deny the allegations set forth in paragraph "12" of the Complaint, except admit that Michael Bloomberg is the Mayor of the City of New York.

- 13. Defendants deny the allegations set forth in paragraph "13" of the Complaint, except admit that Raymond Kelly is the Police Commissioner of the City of New York.
- 14. Defendants deny the allegations set forth in paragraph "14" of the Complaint, except admit that Moises Martinez is an officer employed by the City of New York.
- 15. Defendants deny the allegations set forth in paragraph "15" of the Complaint.
- 16. Defendants deny the allegations set forth in paragraph "16" of the Complaint.
- 17. Defendants deny the allegations set forth in paragraph "17" of the Complaint, except admit that Defendants Bloomberg, Kelly and Martinez are employees of the City of New York.
- 18. Defendants deny the allegations set forth in paragraph "18" of the Complaint.
- 19. Defendants deny knowledge or information sufficient to form a belief as to the truth of the allegations set forth in paragraph "19" of the Complaint.
- 20. Defendants deny the allegations set forth in paragraph "20" of the Complaint.

AS TO "FACTS APPLICABLE TO ALL CLAIMS"

- 21. Defendants deny the allegations set forth in paragraph "21" of the Complaint.
- 22. Defendants deny knowledge or information sufficient to form a belief as to the truth of the allegations set forth in paragraph "22" of the Complaint.

- 23. Defendants deny the allegations set forth in paragraph "23" of the Complaint.
- 24. Defendants deny the allegations set forth in paragraph "24" of the Complaint.
- 25. Defendants deny the allegations set forth in paragraph "25" of the Complaint.
- 26. Defendants deny the allegations set forth in paragraph "26" of the Complaint.
- 27. Defendants deny the allegations set forth in paragraph "27" of the Complaint.
- 28. Defendants deny knowledge or information sufficient to form a belief as to the truth of the allegations set forth in paragraph "28" of the Complaint.
- 29. Defendants deny the allegations set forth in paragraph "29" of the Complaint.
- 30. Defendants deny the allegations set forth in paragraph "30" of the Complaint.
- 31. Defendants deny knowledge or information sufficient to form a belief as to the truth of the allegations set forth in paragraph "31" of the Complaint.
- 32. Defendants deny the allegations set forth in paragraph "32" of the Complaint.
- 33. Defendants deny knowledge or information sufficient to form a belief as to the truth of the allegations set forth in paragraph "33" of the Complaint.
- 34. Defendants deny the allegations set forth in paragraph "34" of the Complaint.

- 35. Defendants deny the allegations set forth in paragraph "35" of the Complaint.
- 36. Defendants deny the allegations set forth in paragraph "36" of the Complaint.
- 37. Defendants deny knowledge or information sufficient to form a belief as to the truth of the allegations set forth in paragraph "37" of the Complaint.
- 38. Defendants deny knowledge or information sufficient to form a belief as to the truth of the allegations set forth in paragraph "38" of the Complaint.
- 39. Defendants deny knowledge or information sufficient to form a belief as to the truth of the allegations set forth in paragraph "39" of the Complaint.
- 40. Defendants deny the allegations set forth in paragraph "40" of the Complaint.
- 41. Defendants deny the allegations set forth in paragraph "41" of the Complaint.
- 42. Defendants deny knowledge or information sufficient to form a belief as to the truth of the allegations set forth in paragraph "42" of the Complaint.
- 43. Defendants deny the allegations set forth in paragraph "43" of the Complaint.
- 44. Defendants deny the allegations set forth in paragraph "44" of the Complaint.
- 45. Defendants deny the allegations set forth in paragraph "45" of the Complaint.
- 46. Defendants deny the allegations set forth in paragraph "46" of the Complaint.

- 47. Defendants deny the allegations set forth in paragraph "47" of the Complaint.
- 48. Defendants deny the allegations set forth in paragraph "48" of the Complaint.

AS TO "FEDERAL CLAIMS"

- 49. In response to the allegations set forth in paragraph "49" of the Complaint, Defendants repeat and re-allege all of the preceding paragraphs of the answer, as if fully set forth herein.
- 50. Defendants deny the allegations set forth in paragraph "50" of the Complaint.
- 51. Defendants deny the allegations set forth in paragraph "51" of the Complaint.
- 52. Defendants deny the allegations set forth in paragraph "52" of the Complaint.

AS TO "STATE CLAIMS"

- 53. In response to the allegations set forth in paragraph "53" of the Complaint, Defendants repeat and re-allege all of the preceding paragraphs of the answer, as if fully set forth herein.
- 54. Defendants deny the allegations set forth in paragraph "54" of the Complaint.
- 55. Defendants deny the allegations set forth in paragraph "55" of the Complaint.
- 56. Defendants deny the allegations set forth in paragraph "56" of the Complaint.

- 57. Defendants deny knowledge or information sufficient to form a belief as to the truth of the allegations set forth in paragraph "57" of the Complaint.
 - 58. Defendants deny the remaining allegations of the Complaint.

FIRST AFFIRMATIVE DEFENSE

59. The Complaint fails, in whole or in part, to state a claim upon which relief may be granted.

SECOND AFFIRMATIVE DEFENSE

60. There was probable cause for plaintiffs' arrest, detention and prosecution.

THIRD AFFIRMATIVE DEFENSE

61. Any and all injuries alleged in the Complaint were caused, in whole or in part, by plaintiff's culpable, negligent or intervening conduct and were not the proximate result of any act of Defendants.

FOURTH AFFIRMATIVE DEFENSE

62. This action is barred, in whole or in part, by the doctrines of *res judicata* and collateral estoppel.

FIFTH AFFIRMATIVE DEFENSE

63. Defendants have not violated any clearly established constitutional or statutory rights of which a reasonable person would have known and, therefore, they are entitled to qualified immunity.

SIXTH AFFIRMATIVE DEFENSE

64. Punitive damages are not recoverable against The City of New York. Punitive damages cannot be recovered against the other Defendants and, if available, the amount of such damages shall be limited by applicable state and federal law, including due process and other provisions of law.

SEVENTH AFFIRMATIVE DEFENSE

65. Defendants have not violated any rights, privileges or immunities secured to the plaintiff under the Constitution, the laws of the United States, the laws of the State of New York or any political subdivision thereof, nor have Defendants violated any act of Congress.

EIGHTH AFFIRMATIVE DEFENSE

66. At all times relevant to the acts alleged in the Complaint, Defendants acted reasonably in the proper and lawful exercise of their discretion.

NINTH AFFIRMATIVE DEFENSE

67. At all times relevant to the acts alleged in the Complaint, Defendants acted reasonably in the proper and lawful exercise of their discretion. Therefore, Defendant The City of New York is entitled to governmental immunity from liability.

TENTH AFFIRMATIVE DEFENSE

68. Plaintiff's claims are barred, in whole or in part, by their failure to comply with the conditions precedent to suit including, but not limited to, New York General Municipal Law §§ 50-e and 50-i.

ELEVENTH AFFIRMATIVE DEFENSE

69. Plaintiff's claims are barred, in whole or in part, by the applicable statutes of limitations.

TWELFTH AFFIRMATIVE DEFENSE

70. Plaintiff's claims are barred, in whole or in part, by the doctrine of absolute immunity.

THIRTEENTH AFFIRMATIVE DEFENSE

71. Process, or service of process, upon one or more of the Defendants was insufficient.

Case 1:05-cv-09985-RJS-JCF

FOURTEENTH AFFIRMATIVE DEFENSE

72. The Court lacks personal jurisdiction over one or more of the Defendants.

FIFTEENTH AFFIRMATIVE DEFENSE

73. To the extent that Defendants used any force, it was reasonable, necessary and justified to accomplish their official duties and to protect their own physical safety and the physical safety of others.

SIXTEENTH AFFIRMATIVE DEFENSE

74. Plaintiff failed to mitigate plaintiff's damages.

SEVENTEENTH AFFIRMATIVE DEFENSE

75. Plaintiff consented to the acts about which plaintiff complains.

EIGHTEENTH AFFIRMATIVE DEFENSE

76. Plaintiff's claims are barred, in whole or in part, by plaintiff's contributory or comparative negligence and by plaintiff's assumption of the risk.

NINETEENTH AFFIRMATIVE DEFENSE

77. Plaintiff's claims are barred, in whole or in part, by the doctrines of laches, waiver and estoppel.

TWENTIETH AFFIRMATIVE DEFENSE

78. Plaintiff lacks standing to demand declaratory relief.

WHEREFORE, Defendants request judgment dismissing the Complaint in its entirety, together with the costs and disbursements of this action, and such other and further relief as the Court may deem just and proper.

Dated: New York, New York March 27, 2006

> MICHAEL A. CARDOZO Corporation Counsel of the City of New York

Attorney for Defendants 100 Church Street, Room 3-137 New York, New York 10007 (212) 788-8026

James Mirro (JM 2265) Special Assistant Corporation Counsel Special Federal Litigation Division

05 CV 9985 (KMK) (JCF)

UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT SOUTHERN DISTRICT OF NEW YORK

BRIAN PORTERA, et al.,

Plaintiff,

-against-

THE CITY OF NEW YORK, et al.,

Defendants.

ANSWER

MICHAEL A. CARDOZO

Corporation Counsel of the City of New York Attorney for Defendants 100 Church Street New York, N.Y. 10007